



**Dr. Iqbal Singh Rasupur,** .....Appellant/Complainant  
C/o Adv. Satinderpal Singh Dhaliwal,  
Office Guru Nanak Nagar, Street No. 4 Kacha Milk Road,  
Jagraon, Disst Ludhiana - 142026.

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer** .....Respondent  
O/o DGP, Pb. Sector 9, Chandigarh

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Director Bureau of investigation, Pb. Sector 9, Chandigarh

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o DGP, Pb. Sector 9, Chandigarh

**Appeal Case No. 3908 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

Present: Appellant : Absent

Respondent: Sh. Kulwinder Singh (SDO) 9876424105 (On call)

**ORDER (Fourth Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 22.06.2022.
2. **Written Submission from respondent Director Bureau of investigation, Pb.** A letter dated 19.07.2022 vide diary no. 15896 is received in the Commission the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-  
**“2. ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਇਕਬਾਲ ਸਿੰਘ ਰਸੂਲਪੁਰ ਜਨਰਲ ਸੈਕਟਰੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 06-03-2021 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਜੋ ਪੱਤਰ ਮਿਤੀ 05-05-2017 ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹੈ, ਉਹ Chief Secretaries of all state Govt. including GNCTD and Administrators of DD, DNH, A&N, Lakshdeep ਨੂੰ ਅਡਰੈਸ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਉਤਾਰਾ The Director Generals of Police of all States UTs ਨੂੰ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ Writ Petition (Civil) No. 140 of 2006 in the matter of National Campaign of Dalit Human Rights & Ors Vs. Union of India & Ors ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਕੇਸ ਦਾ ਸਬੰਧ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਨਾਲ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਹ ਕੇਸ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਦਫਤਰ ਨੂੰ ਭੇਜਣ ਦੀ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਾਲਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ। ਇਹ ਕੇਸ ਸੁਣਵਾਈ ਲਈ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਰਾਜ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਕਮਿਸ਼ਨ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਵਿਖੇ ਮਿਤੀ 20-07-2022 ਨੂੰ ਨਿਸ਼ਚਿਤ ਹੈ।”**
3. Appellant Dr. Iqbal Singh Rasupur has left the meeting (Cisco Webex) before presenting his case, the Commission has tried to connect him through telephone call but his mobile no. 8872200515 but failed to connect.
4. Accordingly, this Commission is of the view that appellant took several chances to present his case but he failed to do so and comply with order of the Commission. However, **the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent authorities. The appellant** remained absent in the court for subsequent three time without any intimation. This appeal case is herewith CLOSED with above observations.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 20.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Taranjit Singh, (9781948678)** .....Appellant/Complainant  
House No 33, Adarsh Nagar Tarehti,  
P.O Shahpurkandi, Distt Pathankot.

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer** .....Respondent  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Pathankot.

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o Deputy Commissioner,  
Pathankot.

**Appeal Case No. 3865 and 3866 of 2021**

Present: Appellant : Sh. Taranjit Singh  
Respondent: Sh. Sanjeev Pathania (Superintendent) 9501444466

**ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 20.06.2022. As both the parties are same in both the above mentioned case therefore a single speaking order is passed in both the cases.
2. Both the parties are present and heard. The Appellant referred to his RTI application and submitted that he was not in receipt of any updated response from the respondent after the last hearing. On this Sh. Sanjeev Pathania stated that the sought information has already been provided to the appellant Sh. Taranjit Singh in both the cases after he the record inspection done by him. He further added that no any other information is pending in the office record.
3. The Commission is in receipt of affidavit from Sh. Sanjeev Pathania (Superintendent) providing therein the factual situation (as discussed above) and affirming the position that the information sought by the appellant has been supplied .
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the information supplied by the respondent PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been **suitably replied** and the information has been supplied to the best extent. Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the above mentioned instant appeal cases are **disposed & closed**.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 20.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



**Sh. Gurwinder Singh, (8968145792)**  
S/o Sh. Gurjant Singh,  
R/o Chotian, Tehsil Lehera, Distt Sangrur.

.....Appellant/Complainant

**Versus**

**Public Information Officer**  
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lehera,  
Distt Sangrur.

.....Respondent

**First Appellate Authority**  
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,  
Sangrur.

**Appeal Case No.4512 of 2021**  
**(Cisco Webex Proceedings)**

RTI application filed on	:	27-05-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	06-07-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Gurwinder Singh  
Respondent: Sh. Darsahn Kumar (Panchayat Secty.) 9814239270

**ORDER (Second Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference with the previous order of the Commission dated 20.06.2022.
2. Respondent, Sh. Darsahn Kumar stated that with the compliance of the previous order of the commission the sought information comprising 1113 pages has been provided to the appellant after he deposited the documentation fee. On this appellant Sh. Gurwinder Singh submitted that he is not satisfied with information so supplied by the respondent authority as some pages are missing.
3. Appellant, Sh. Santosh Kumar stated that partial information has been supplied to him.
4. In the view of above, the appellant is advised to point out deficiencies, to the respondent- Public Information Officer with a copy to the Commission failing which the ex-parte decision will be taken on the next date of hearing.
5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, the PIO is directed to provide an additional reply/information (As discussed in court) to the appellant on the deficiencies so pointed out by him. On these points, the PIO is directed to offer inspection of the relevant available records to the appellant at a mutually convenient date and time. The appellant is directed to avail of the said offer, failing which no further opportunity will be provided to him.

This case is **disposed of** with above observations and directions.

**Sd/-**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 20.07.2022**

**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**



Sh. Pawan Kumar, (7508780269)  
S/o Sh. Shiv Lal,  
R/o Village Rupana,  
Tehsil & District Sri Muktsar Sahib – 152032

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Sub Divisional Engineer,  
Central Works Sub Division,  
PWD (B & R) Batala at Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Superintending Engineer,  
Construction Division (B&R) Circle,  
Amritsar.

....Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO. 667 OF 2022**

RTI Application Dated : 08.11.2021  
Reply by the PIO :  
First Appellate Authority Dated : 11.12.2021  
Reply/Order of the FAA : -

**PRESENT:** NONE

**ORDER:**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 21.06.2022.
  2. The commission is receipt of acknowledgment letter from appellant wherein he stated that he in receipt of requisite information and has requested to close the case.
  3. As the information stands supplied therefore, no cause of action is required in this case.
- Hence, the instant complaint/appeal case is **disposed & closed**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 20.07.2022**

**Sd/-**  
**(Maninder Singh Patti)**  
**State Information Commissioner, Pb.**